

Why do we need to implement the ICD-11? When pain science and practice meet policies

Across the world, chronic pain remains the leading cause of disability linked with negative outcomes in, amongst others, mood, sleep and quality of life, and a significant cost to society. The situation is complicated by the inconsistent global approach in classifying presentations of chronic pain that would allow more accurate data to be collected. Thus, there is a requirement for international agreement on the use of standardized diagnoses and tools.

WHAT IS THE 11TH INTERNATIONAL CLASSIFICATION OF DISEASES (ICD-11)?

ICD is an international standard diagnostic tool for epidemiology, health management, research and clinical purposes, as well as the international standard for reporting of diseases and health problems (Treede et al., 2019). ICD can be used to record individual health conditions, to generate and share data on these conditions for a variety of purposes, including research, reimbursement and policy planning (<https://icd.who.int/browse11/l-m/en>) (Barke et al., 2018).

WHY DO WE NEED ICD-11?

Not recording and reporting diagnoses in a standardized format has significant consequences for people living with pain. It affects their treatments, their life and their social participation on an individual level, but also leads to difficulties for their patient organizations when attempting to make sense of the inconsistent global picture of the condition(s) presented to them. In research, the lack of a systematic classification has also made it impossible to collect accurate epidemiological data at the global level, which impacts on the ability of policy makers and health services to design appropriate policy, services and interventions for those requiring them. In public health policy, receiving accurate and timely information and data on

costs, treatments and the societal impact of painful conditions is of paramount importance in order to dictate public health policy and campaigns. (Barke et al., 2018). Additionally, ICD-11 integrates the coding process into electronic health records in a much more efficient manner, if compared to previous versions. In 2017, it was field-tested in 31 countries with more than 112,000 codes. The current version of ICD-11 contains more than 16,000 unique codes for disease, injuries and causes of death, more than 120,000 codable terms, and for the first time, it's entirely electronic. Moreover, of practical importance, recent data suggest a superiority of ICD-11 measures over DSM-5 metrics in predicting disability after pain rehabilitation (Elmose Andersen et al. 2022).

For patients and doctors alike, often the centre of attention is the search for the cause of the pain. The motive is to end the pain by ending its cause. While this is often a fruitful approach, especially in acute pain, for chronic pain things frequently are more difficult. However, regardless of these empirical questions, chronic pain itself is a fact that requires that society, health systems and politics take note of it. The first step in clarifying this issue is to establish common ground regarding the documentation of chronic pain for clinical, budgetary and policy purposes (Rief et al., 2012). With the recognition and inclusion of chronic pain in the ICD-11, a significant step has been taken (Treede et al., 2019). This has major implications in pain science, clinical practice and public health policy.

Regarding policy, the inclusion of chronic painful conditions in ICD-11 will increase the recognition of chronic pain as a health problem and contribute to better access to adequate pain treatment for those suffering from pain around the world. Further, it is to be hoped that the improvement in both recognition and classification will lead to enhanced and focussed research funding from a wider selection of funding bodies when considering the widespread impact of chronic pain. For this reason, the Societal Impact of Pain (SIP) platform recently considered that the implementation of the ICD-11 must be a priority and supports this reflection at European and national levels on the subject (<https://www.sip-platform.eu/>). Additionally, the SIP platform remains convinced that researchers and clinicians must be informed about the evolution of policies

The collaborators of the The Societal Impact of Pain Platform (SIP) are listed in Appendix.

and the impact their activities have had on them, thus 'closing the loop'.

In 2022, in cooperation with the World Health Organization (WHO), the International Association for the Study of Pain (IASP) has developed a classification based on the latest scientific evidence. Recognizing chronic pain in a systematic classification offers a unique opportunity to improve the diagnosis, treatment, research of pain worldwide and orientate policy initiatives. Thanks to the hierarchical structure of the ICD-11, professionals can use the diagnoses at different levels (from very broad to quite specific). In addition to the absence of disease and infirmity, according to the WHO, physical, mental and social well-being is part of the concept of health. In chronic conditions, especially in chronic pain, patients' functioning is affected (Barke et al., 2020). Therefore, a biopsychosocial assessment is essential. The International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF) is considered, along with the ICD, as the main classifications of the WHO family of international classifications (WHO-FIC) (<https://icd.who.int/dev11/l-icf/en>). Thus, the combined use of ICD-11 and ICF should improve research on chronic pain through more precise and adequate coding, as well as the management of patients through better diagnostic classification (Hornemann et al., 2020).

HOW TO SUPPORT IT?

Society as a whole will benefit from more appropriate and standardized diagnostics through the implementation of ICD-11 and in particular those either at risk of developing, or having already developed, chronic pain. Therefore, the need for implementation is supported by an organization like SIP, which is a partnership between the European Pain Federation EFIC and the Pain Alliance Europe (PAE), an umbrella organization representing people living with chronic pain. But societal aspects obviously have an impact on political priorities and political research agendas. Identifying research priorities facilitates advancements in knowledge and data collection, which, in turn, can have a societal impact. That is why implementing accurate coding helps the science and practice of pain while adhering to policies.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

The writing committee, composed of AB, ACP, PC, PF, DR, OVH and JW conceptualized the work, wrote the first version of the manuscript, approved the final version and confirmed meeting the ICMJE criteria for authorship.

All other collaborators helped conceptualize the work and are contributing non-authors.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

None.

FUNDING INFORMATION

The 'Societal Impact of Pain' (SIP) platform is a multi-stakeholder partnership led by the European Pain Federation EFIC and Pain Alliance Europe (PAE), which aims to raise awareness of pain and change pain policies. The scientific framework of the SIP platform is under the responsibility of EFIC and the strategic direction of the project is defined by both partners. The pharmaceutical company Grünenthal GmbH is the main sponsor of the Societal Impact of Pain (SIP) platform.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The following authors declare the potential conflict of interest: PF received fees from Grünenthal for an educational activity unrelated to any product. The other authors did not have any conflict of interest.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Not applicable.

The Societal Impact of Pain (SIP)

Antonia Barke¹

Angela Cano Palomares²

Paul Cameron^{3,4} 

Patrice Forget^{5,6} 

Deirdre Ryan⁷

Omer Vanhaute⁸

Jamie Wilkinson²

¹Catholic University Eichstaett-Ingolstadt, Clinical and Biological Psychology, Eichstaett, Germany

²European Pain Federation EFIC, Societal Impact of Pain Platform (SIP), Brussels, Belgium

³Fife Health & Social Care Partnership, Dunfermline, UK

⁴School of Medicine, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK

⁵Institute of Applied Health Sciences, Epidemiology Group, School of Medicine, Medical Sciences and Nutrition, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, UK

⁶Department of Anaesthesia, NHS Grampian, Aberdeen, UK

⁷Pain Alliance Europe PAE, Brussels, Belgium

⁸University Hospital Ghent, Strategic Policy Cell, ICF-Platform, Ghent, Belgium

Correspondence

The Societal Impact of Pain (SIP), Rue de Londres 18, 1050, Ixelles, Bruxelles, Belgique.

Email: sip@efic.org

ORCID

Paul Cameron  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3576-0427>

Patrice Forget  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5772-8439>

REFERENCES

- Treede, R. D., Rief, W., Barke, A., Aziz, Q., Bennett, M. I., Benoliel, R., Cohen, M., Evers, S., Finnerup, N. B., First, M. B., Giamberardino, M. A., Kaasa, S., Korwisi, B., Kosek, E., Lavand'homme, P., Nicholas, M., Perrot, S., Scholz, J., Schug, S., ... Wang, S. J. (2019). Chronic pain as a symptom or a disease: The IASP classification of chronic pain for the international classification of diseases (ICD-11). *Pain, 160*(1), 19–27.
- Barke, A., Korwisi, B., Casser, H. R., Fors, E. A., Geber, C., Schug, S. A., Stubhaug, A., Ushida, T., Wetterling, T., Rief, W., & Treede, R. D. (2018). Pilot field testing of the chronic pain classification for ICD-11: The results of ecological coding. *BMC Public Health, 18*(1), 1239.
- Elmose Andersen, T., Hansen, M., Lykkegaard Ravn, S., & Bjarke, V. H. (2022). The association of probable PTSD at baseline and pain-related outcomes after chronic pain rehabilitation: A comparison of DSM-5 and ICD-11 criteria for PTSD. *European Journal of Pain, 26*(3), 709–718. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejp.1899>
- Rief, W., Kaasa, S., Jensen, R., Perrot, S., Vlaeyen, J. W., Treede, R. D., & Vissers, K. C. (2012). New proposals for the international classification of Diseases-11 revision of pain diagnoses. *The Journal of Pain, 13*(4), 305–316.
- Hornemann, C., Schröder, A., Ørnbøl, E., Christensen, N. B., Høeg, M. D., Mehlsen, M., & Frostholm, L. (2020). Application of ICD-11 among individuals with chronic pain: A post hoc analysis of the Stanford self-management program. *European Journal of Pain, 24*(2), 297–311.
- Barke, A., Koechlin, H., Korwisi, B., & Locher, C. (2020). Emotional distress: Specifying a neglected part of chronic pain. *European Journal of Pain, 24*(3), 477–480.

APPENDIX A

Collaborators (including non-authors)

Maria Rosario Alonso, Antonia Barke, Anna Bjarnegård Sellius, Gertrude Buttigieg, Paul Cameron, Angela Cano Palomares, Duarte Correia, Beatriz Craveiro Lopes, Gustavo Fabregat, Maria Teresa Flor de Lima, Patrice Forget, Marisol García Penalta, Gunilla Goran, Liisa Jutila, Marja Kinnunen, Aleksandra Kotlinska-Lemieszek, Mateja Krzan, Françoise Launois, André Ljutow, Ivone Machado, Cesar Margarit, Maiju Marttinen, Bart Morlion, Joanne O'Brien, María Luz Padilla del Rey, Martina Phelan, Margarida Piçarra Navalhinhas, Gisèle Pickering, Isabel Pireza, Michiel Reneman, Deirdre Ryan, Alexandre Silva, Nevenka Skvarc, Kirsi Toyryla, Omer Vanhaute, Jamie Wilkinson, Marion Wuestefeld.